Accuracy of genome-wide mutational analysis for tumor informed ctDNA guided MRD monitoring in bladder cancer.

Iver Nordentoft¹, Sia Viborg Lindskrog^{1,2}, Karin Birkenkamp-Demtröder^{1,2}, Santiago Gonzalez³, Maja Kuzman³, James Smadbeck³, James Smadback³, James Sma Mads Agerbæk⁵, Jørgen Bjerggaard Jensen^{2,6}, Asaf Zviran³, Lars Dyrskjøt^{1,2}.

1 Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. 3 C2i Genomics. INC, New York, NY, USA.4 C2i Genomic

Introduction

- Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) levels can be used to monitor treatment response and detect Minimal Residual Disease (MRD) with a positive lead time over clinical and radiological detection¹⁻²
- In bladder cancer, mutations in plasma have previously been used to monitor response during treatment and identify metastatic relapse at a very early timepoint³
- Recently, longitudinal ctDNA detection in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) was described using ultra deep amplicon sequencing for early relapse detection⁴.
- We applied a tumor informed whole genome sequencing (WGS) method to detect thousands of somatic mutations in cfDNA using only 1-2 mL of plasma.

Objective

- To use a tumor informed WGS approach to analyze longitudinally-collected plasma samples for monitoring the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), and to detect MRD as well as metastatic relapse
- To use small volume of plasma (~1mL) to facilitate usability.
- To improve the sensitivity of ctDNA detection by analyzing thousands of somatic mutations captured by WGS.

Methods

Clinical Protocol

- Patients, diagnosed with locally advanced MIBC, were prospectively recruited between 2014 and 2019, and followed until 2023.
- All patients received NAC before cystectomy (RC) and had up to 7.5 years of follow-up.
- Plasma samples were longitudinally collected pre- and post-systemic therapy as well as at scheduled control visits after RC (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Schematic of Clinical Sample Collection



Molecular and data analysis protocol

- Genomic DNA from tumor/germline pairs (n=113) and cfDNA from 916 plasma samples were isolated.
- WGS of tumor/germline pairs followed by genome-wide integration of somatic mutations, enriched by signal processing and AI-based error suppression, were used to generate patient-specific tumor signatures (Figure 2).
- Patient-specific somatic variants were used for detecting ctDNA in WGS data based on about 15 ng cfDNA by the MRDetect⁵ algorithm (**Figure 2**)
- Clinical data, such as pathological evaluation and radiographic imaging, were blinded until after analysis and compared directly to the MRDetect⁵ plasma call results.

Figure 2. Schematic of workflow









Figure 8. Lead time between first molecular relapse (ctDNA positive (orange dot) and clinical recurrence (radiographic imaging positive, blue dot). The green dot indicate that first molecular relapse and clinical recurrence are detected at the same time.



Figure 9. Violin plot showing the tumor fraction post RC for lung metastasis vs. all other metastasis. Only plasma samples having TF>0 after cystectomy are included. ctDNA shedding and thus probability for MRD detection is dependent on the metastatic site.

Aarhus University Hospital **Abstract Number: 4589**

Results Figure 10. Mutational characterization of tumors ERBB2 FGFR3 BIRC6 RNF213 No response ELF3 BRCA2 ERBB3 Mutational signature p = 8.9e-0 n = 49 n = 18 n = 44 30000-0.000 20000-4000 -____ 10000 -—••ala a— ____ Former Smoking status Figure 10. WGS data of tumors. (A) Oncoplot showing alterations in driver genes, contribution of mutational signatures and whole-genome-doubling status for all tumors. (B) Mutational profile of previously unidentified signature found in both tumor and plasma from patient 5350. (C) Number of mutations in the SBS92 context according to smoking status of the patients. Figure 11. Tumor evolution resolved from WGS-based ctDNA analysis 5408-1 5408-12 5113-9 5113-10 5113-11 4119 4105-10 4105-12 4769 4175 4265-12 4265-13 4239 Contribution of SBS31+SBS35 attributed to platinum drug treatment Patient 4105 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 Tumor Clinical recurrence Plasma, TF: 0.14 307 days after RC Plasma, TF: 0.39 392 days after RC Copy number change 📃 Gain 📃 Loss 📕 New gain 📕 New loss

Figure 11. WGS data of plasma cfDNA for patients with a sufficient detected TF (cfDNA TF>10%). A) Contribution of mutational signatures when analyzing unique and shared mutations between primary tumors and plasma samples. Five plasma samples from three patients (5408, 5113 and 4105) showed contribution from the mutational signatures SBS31+SBS35, which are both attributed to platinum drug treatment. B) Evolution of copy number variations detected in post-treatment plasma samples compared to the primary tumor for patient 4105.

Conclusions

- The study demonstrates that WGS of ctDNA from a volume of only 1-2 mL of plasma can detect disease relapse in NAC treated MIBC 131 days (Median) before radiographic imaging.
- The recurrence free survival is significantly lower for patients that are ctDNA positive before neoadjuvant chemotherapy, before cystectomy and any time after cystectomy. CtDNA clearance during NAC is a predictor of PFS comparable to pathological downstaging.
- Lung metastasis release less ctDNA than other metastatic tumors.
- WGS of ctDNA from small volumes of plasma show clinical potential for personalized genome-wide mutation integration as an ultra-sensitive, non-invasive method for MRD detection and treatment response monitoring. This could aid in clinical management of patients with bladder cancer and guide clinical decisions.
- Mutation load in signature SBS92 attributed to tobacco smoking was significantly higher in tumors from current smokers compared to tumors from former- and never smokers.
- Chemotherapy-induced mutational signatures (platinum) was not present in any of the primary tumors, however emerge in post-treatment plasma samples.
- CNVs detected only in post-treatment plasma samples suggest that treatment resistant clones is a late event and is not present in the originally sequenced tumor.

References

1. Carpinetti P et al. Oncotarget. 2015. 6(35):38360–7, 2. Riva F et al. Clin Chem. 2017. 63(3):691–699, 3. Birkenkamp-Demtröder K et al. Eur Urol. 2018. 73(4):535–540, 4. Christensen E, Birkenkamp-Demtröder K et al J Clin Oncol. 2019 Jun 20;37(18):1547-1557, 5. Zviran et al., Nature Medicine. 2020

Contact: Iver Nordentoft – Iver.Nordentoft@clin.au.dk | Lars Dyrskjøt – Lars@clin.au.dk

ASCO ANNUAL MEETING 2023; McCormick Place, Chicago, IL| June 2 – 6, 2023

